

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: ZHAO, Wen; CHAUDRY, Shahid, R.; PLESTID, T., L., Trevor; XUE, Hao;
YEUNG, Grace, T., Y.; YANOSHEWSKI, Clifford, W.
Serial No.: 10/533,960
Filed: May 4, 2005
Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MAINTAINING A WIRELESS DATA
CONNECTION
Group: 2617
Examiner: LY, NGHI H.
Attorney Ref.: PAT 799W-2 US

October 11, 2007

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Mail Stop AF

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

We submit that this case is appropriate for the pre-appeal process as the Final Action, which is a new ground of rejection, clearly fails to demonstrate a *prima facie* case of obviousness for any of the pending claims, there are clear errors in the rejections, and the rejections omit essential elements for a *prima facie* rejection.

Clear errors suitable for a pre-appeal review include:

- 1) lack of *prima facie* case for rejecting claim 12;
- 2) clear error in designating the Office Action of May 8, 2007 as FINAL

1) Lack of *prima facie* case for rejecting claim 12

In our response to the Final Action, at pages 2-5, we presented arguments indicating that Hunzinger does not teach or suggest all the claimed limitations; that there is no teaching or suggestion in Hunzinger to modify its teachings to arrive at the claimed invention; and that, even if Hunzinger were modified, there would be no reasonable expectation of success and such modification would not result in the invention as claimed herein. We direct the pre-appeal panel to those arguments, which we believe should be convincing on their own.

In order to demonstrate that the rejection is clearly deficient, we reproduce column 2, lines 22-29 of Hunzinger, which the Examiner has quoted, in support of his rejection, at pages 2 and 3 of the Office Action dated May 8, 2007:

Another aspect of the present invention is a timer setting circuit for use in a mobile communication system. The timer setting circuit comprises a reconnection

timer and a timer setting circuit. The timer setting circuit sets the reconnection timer to a value after a failed connection attempt between a mobile station and the mobile communication system. The timer setting circuit determines the value of the reconnection timer is based on a set of data regarding connection requests[emphasis added].

Accordingly, at a very basic level, this excerpt teaches that the reconnection timer of Hunzinger is a timer having a time value set by a timer setting circuit after a failed connection attempt. In addition, we respectfully direct the pre-appeal panel to the operation of the reconnection timer described at least at Fig. 2 and its related text at column 4, lines 8-27 of Hunzinger. No connection has been previously established, as recited in pending claim 12.

Even if we were to ignore the details of the claim 12, Hunzinger simply does not teach or suggest the step of *determining, at minimum fixed time intervals determined by a service check timer, the status of a previously established data connection*.

In order to try and force a rejection based on the Hunzinger reference, the examiner considers the terms “failed”, “was” and/or “unsuccessful” to read on “a previously established data connection” (see page 2 of Advisory action dated July 31, 2007). Contrast this with the Examiner’s admission that “Hunzinger does not specifically disclose re-establishing the previous established data connection if the transmitted connection request is accepted by the wireless data network” (see page 3, paragraph 2 of Office Action dated May 8, 2007). Hunzinger fails to teach or suggest the step of “re-establishing the previous established data connection” simply because Hunzinger is not concerned with checking the status of a previously established data connection, as claimed. The rejection is therefore clearly erroneous. We re-iterate our arguments in our response to the Final Action, at pages 2-5, which explains in more detail not only how the claims differ from what is taught by Hunzinger, but also why the rejection is erroneous.

Furthermore, Thornton fails to teach or suggest determining the status of previously established data connection. Thornton discloses a system and method used by a mobile device to switch between a data connection and a voice connection to allow sampling of audio recordings when using the mobile device to shop for audio product. Thornton is not concerned with monitoring the status of a previously established data connection and does not teach or suggest any type of timer for determining the status of any type connection. Neither Hunzinger nor Thornton address the problem solved by the present invention as claimed, the problem being that of losing a connection between a mobile device and a wireless network and maintaining a “always-on” data connection on a wireless data network.

2) Clear error in designating the Office Action of May 8, 2007 as FINAL

In the Office Action dated November 17, 2006, which immediately preceded the Office Action of May 8, 2007, the Examiner stated that “the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., “*for reestablishing a connection if the connection is lost subsequent to initial connection*”) are not recited in the rejected claims” (see page 9 of the November 17, 2006 Action). Subsequently, in the response filed on February 19, 2007, Applicant amended the claims to clearly and distinctly define the subject matter by reciting in claim 12, among others, “re-establishing the previously established data connection if the transmitted connection request is accepted by the wireless data network” (emphasis added).

As stated earlier in this submission, the Examiner conceded that “Hunzinger does not specifically disclose re-establishing the previous established data connection if the transmitted connection request is accepted by the wireless data network” (see page 3, paragraph 2 of Office Action dated May 8, 2007). However, the Examiner proceeded to reject claims 2-6, 9, 10, 12-16, 18, and 21-27 as being obvious over Hunzinger in view of Thronton. Furthermore, the Examiner designated the Action as being FINAL although the amendments submitted by the Applicant were purposed to clarify the nature of the “previously” established connection. Applicant submits that this amendment did not necessitate the new ground(s) for rejection as contended by the Examiner. Therefore, there is a clear error on the part of the Examiner in designating the Office Action of May 8, 2007 as FINAL.

A Petition for a Three-Month Extension of Time is enclosed under separate cover. Applicant authorizes the Commissioner to debit any required fee from Deposit Account No. 501593, in the name of Borden Ladner Gervais LLP. The Commissioner is further authorized to debit any additional amount required, and to credit any overpayment to the above-noted deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,
Wen ZHAO, et al.

By: /Leslie A. Kinsman/
Leslie A. Kinsman
Reg. No. 45,291
Borden Ladner Gervais LLP
World Exchange Plaza
100 Queen Street, Suite 1100
Ottawa, ON K1P 1J9
CANADA
Tel: (613) 237-5160
Fax: (613) 787-3558
E-mail: ipinfo@blgcanada.com

ALK/MC

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional) PAT 799W-2									
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on _____ Signature _____ Typed or printed name _____	Application Number 10/533,960	Filed May 4, 2005									
	First Named Inventor Wen ZHAO et al.										
	Art Unit 2617	Examiner Nghi H LY									
<p>Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.</p> <p>This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.</p> <p>The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.</p> <p>I am the</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.</td><td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">/Anne Kinsman/ _____ Signature Anne Kinsman _____ Typed or printed name</td></tr><tr><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)</td><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">_____ Telephone number</td></tr><tr><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. Registration number 45,291</td><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">(613) 237-5160 _____ Telephone number</td></tr><tr><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____</td><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">October 11, 2007 _____ Date</td></tr></table> <p>NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.</p>				<input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.	/Anne Kinsman/ _____ Signature Anne Kinsman _____ Typed or printed name	<input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	_____ Telephone number	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. Registration number 45,291	(613) 237-5160 _____ Telephone number	<input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____	October 11, 2007 _____ Date
<input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.	/Anne Kinsman/ _____ Signature Anne Kinsman _____ Typed or printed name										
<input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	_____ Telephone number										
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. Registration number 45,291	(613) 237-5160 _____ Telephone number										
<input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____	October 11, 2007 _____ Date										
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> *Total of <u>1</u> forms are submitted.											

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (*i.e.*, GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.